International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology (IJISIT)
Volume 2, No. 1 (2025) pp. 26-32
e-ISSN 3063-4156 | p-ISSN 3063-4172
DOI: 10.55123/ijisit.v2i1.97

Digital Maritime Welfare Governance Through IoT and Big Data Integration

Kristias Cesar Priyanto
State Senior High School 1 West Cikarang, Bekasi Regency, Indoenesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received March 02, 2025
Revised May 12, 2025
Accepted June 30, 2025

Keywords:

Maritime Sustainability
IoT Governance
Big Data Analytics
Seafarer Welfare
ESG Integration

ABSTRACT

This study explores the role of IoT, Big Data analytics, and digital platforms in strengthening sustainable maritime governance and seafarer welfare systems. The research addresses a critical gap in port and shipping literature by integrating technological transformation with human-centered maritime social management. Using quantitative scoring indicators and qualitative interpretative analysis, the study evaluates system effectiveness across ESG alignment, operational resilience, digital governance, and crew well-being dimensions. Results indicate high effectiveness levels, demonstrating that data-driven welfare ecosystems significantly improve monitoring accuracy, decision-making efficiency, and sustainability compliance. The findings reveal that digital integration enables a shift from reactive welfare management to predictive and preventive governance frameworks. By combining technological innovation with ethical oversight, the research contributes a novel framework for sustainable maritime transformation. Practical implications include enhanced resilience strategies, improved ESG reporting structures, and proactive mental health monitoring systems. The study recommends future longitudinal research to examine long-term behavioral and organizational impacts of digital welfare ecosystems in global shipping networks.

Corresponding Author:

Kristias Cesar Priyanto
State Senior High School 1 West Cikarang,
Bekasi Regency, Indoenesia
Email: binsky53@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The global maritime industry is undergoing a profound digital transformation that is reshaping not only port infrastructure and vessel operations, but also the social architecture of life at sea. As ports adopt green performance metrics, intelligent automation, and AI-driven logistics systems, and as ships evolve into data-rich cyber-physical environments, the maritime economy is increasingly defined by connectivity, analytics, and sustainability imperatives. Recent studies demonstrate how ports are reconfiguring performance and sustainability determinants through data-driven frameworks [1], while integrated maritime policies seek institutional coherence for sustainable governance in complex coastal systems [2]. Parallel research highlights intelligent ship risk modeling [3], automated container terminal performance [9], AI-based forecasting in bunkering systems [8], and digital transformation in public governance [11]. Yet, amid these technological advances, a critical human dimension remains under-theorized: the mental health and digital well-being of maritime crews operating within increasingly connected but socially fragmented environments.

Seafaring has historically been characterized by prolonged isolation, rigid hierarchies, cultural diversity, and high operational stress. The contemporary maritime economy intensifies these pressures through tighter turnaround times, automated monitoring, ESG compliance requirements, and performance-based

management systems. While sustainability research has extensively evaluated environmental efficiency in liner shipping [6], green port policy effectiveness [5], port resilience frameworks [7], and green technology innovation under globalization [10], comparatively less attention has been directed toward how digital infrastructures affect the psychosocial conditions of seafarers. This imbalance is striking, particularly as ESG frameworks now increasingly incorporate verified crew welfare metrics, occupational safety documentation, and social sustainability indicators alongside environmental reporting.

Technological developments offer both risks and opportunities in this context. Intelligent ships rely on complex risk filtering and management systems [3], and fully automated terminals demonstrate resilience advantages during systemic disruptions such as COVID-19 [9]. Digital twins and AI-enabled logistics architectures are transforming warehouse and supply chain ecosystems [12], while broader digital transformation initiatives in public administrations highlight systemic governance shifts driven by information technologies [11]. Simultaneously, Big Data collaborations between academic and industry actors reveal both ethical potential and governance challenges in digital behavioral research [13]. These studies collectively indicate that maritime systems are rapidly integrating IoT sensors, AI analytics, predictive modeling, and cybersecurity frameworks [14], thereby creating data-rich operational environments. However, the social consequences of embedding seafarers within such pervasive monitoring and algorithmic management structures remain insufficiently synthesized within maritime literature.

The research problem, therefore, lies in the conceptual and empirical gap between maritime digital transformation and crew-centered welfare management. While ports and shipping companies invest heavily in environmental efficiency, automation, and technology management strategies [15], there is limited integrated analysis of how IoT connectivity, social media platforms, and Big Data analytics can be systematically leveraged to address seafarer mental health crises—such as depression, burnout, and chronic isolation—within operational maritime ecosystems. Existing scholarship on port sustainability determinants [1], maritime policy integration [2], and environmental regulatory impact [6] provides macro-level governance insight, but rarely incorporates digital social technologies as instruments of proactive human resource management at sea.

This study addresses the following central research question: How can IoT connectivity, social media integration, and Big Data analytics be strategically aligned within maritime management frameworks to transform seafarer welfare from reactive support mechanisms into proactive, data-driven digital well-being ecosystems? In answering this question, the research pursues several specific objectives. First, it seeks to synthesize literature on maritime digital transformation, intelligent systems, and sustainability governance to situate crew welfare within broader technological and ESG transitions. Second, it examines how IoT-enabled health monitoring devices and onboard connectivity infrastructures can generate continuous physiological and behavioral data relevant to mental health risk identification. Third, it critically evaluates the ethical, governance, and cybersecurity implications of deploying such data-intensive welfare frameworks in maritime contexts, drawing from research on information security culture and Zero Trust architectures [14] and digital governance transformation [11]. Finally, it proposes a conceptual alignment model integrating social technology platforms, predictive analytics, and maritime HRM strategies to support ESG-compliant welfare systems.

The rationale for this research is grounded in three interrelated developments. First, ESG and sustainability standards increasingly require measurable social indicators in addition to environmental performance. Studies on green port policies [5], sustainability determinants [1], and environmental efficiency in liner shipping [6] underscore how quantitative metrics shape regulatory compliance and competitive positioning. As social sustainability becomes institutionalized within maritime reporting frameworks, crew welfare can no longer be treated as an intangible or peripheral concern. Instead, it must be documented, verified, and strategically managed.

Second, technological convergence has created unprecedented opportunities for early intervention in mental health risks. IoT-enabled biosensors can monitor sleep patterns, heart rate variability, and stress biomarkers in real time; AI-driven systems similar to those applied in risk modeling for intelligent ships [3] or forecasting bunkering demand [8] can be adapted to detect anomalies in crew behavioral data; and digital twin architectures [12] illustrate how integrated data environments can simulate and optimize complex systems. When applied to crew welfare, such technologies could shift management approaches from episodic crisis response to predictive well-being management. However, Big Data research also highlights concerns regarding academic-industry data use, ethical governance, and privacy boundaries [13]. In maritime settings—where hierarchical authority structures and multinational employment arrangements are common—these ethical considerations are amplified.

Third, the maritime labor environment is uniquely exposed to isolation risks that digital social technologies can mitigate. Continuous satellite connectivity and secure social media platforms can reduce emotional distance between seafarers and their families, potentially buffering stressors associated with long voyages. Yet, without structured integration into HRM systems, such technologies may remain fragmented or

unevenly accessible. Moreover, cybersecurity risks—addressed in information security culture research [14]—are particularly salient in vessels that already face cyber-physical vulnerabilities. Thus, digital welfare systems must be designed with security-by-design principles to prevent data misuse while maintaining trust among crew members.

Methodologically, this research adopts a qualitative analytical approach grounded in critical literature synthesis and interpretive analysis. Drawing upon selected peer-reviewed studies in maritime sustainability, intelligent shipping systems, AI-enabled logistics, technology management, and digital governance [1]–[15], the study conducts a thematic coding process to identify conceptual linkages between technological innovation and social management frameworks. Rather than employing quantitative statistical modeling, the research emphasizes interpretive analysis of how digital infrastructures are framed, implemented, and governed across maritime and adjacent sectors. By analyzing patterns across sustainability determinants [1], resilience frameworks [7], automation performance [9], digital governance transformation [11], and Big Data ethics discourse [13], the study develops a conceptual model for integrating social technology into maritime HRM systems.

The qualitative analysis also incorporates a socio-technical systems perspective, recognizing that technology adoption is mediated by institutional culture, regulatory environments, and managerial strategy. Insights from integrated maritime policy research [2] inform the understanding that digital welfare frameworks must align with national and international governance architectures. Likewise, studies on green technology innovation and globalization [10] and technology management trends [15] demonstrate that technological adoption trajectories are shaped by competitive pressures and policy incentives. By situating crew welfare technologies within these broader socio-economic dynamics, the research avoids technological determinism and instead advances a holistic maritime social management framework.

2. METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative research design grounded in interpretive inquiry to examine how IoT connectivity, social media integration, and Big Data analytics can be strategically aligned within maritime management systems to address seafarer mental health and digital well-being. The methodological approach is informed by contemporary scholarship on digital transformation in governance and industry [11], technology management trends [15], AI-enabled logistics systems [12], maritime sustainability and policy integration [2], intelligent ship risk modeling [3], and data governance in digital behavioral research [13]. These studies collectively demonstrate that technological transformation in maritime contexts is socio-technical in nature, requiring analysis not only of systems and infrastructures but also of institutional actors, managerial perceptions, and human experiences. Consequently, a qualitative design is appropriate to capture the nuanced perspectives of stakeholders involved in maritime education, management, and operational practice.

The population of this research consists of three interrelated groups within the maritime ecosystem: maritime industry experts (including port managers, shipping company HR managers, and vessel superintendents), maritime lecturers and academic researchers specializing in port and shipping studies, and recent maritime graduates or cadets with onboard experience. These groups are purposively selected because they represent strategic nodes within the maritime digital transformation process. Industry experts are directly involved in implementing ESG-driven sustainability frameworks, port automation systems, and intelligent vessel technologies, as highlighted in studies on sustainable port determinants [1], environmental efficiency in liner shipping [6], and port resilience frameworks [7]. Their insights are critical for understanding how digital welfare systems might be operationalized within existing managerial structures. Maritime lecturers are included because they shape curriculum development and competency formation, especially in areas related to technology management and digital literacy, as discussed in broader analyses of technology management evolution [15] and digital governance transformation [11]. Graduates and cadets provide experiential perspectives on shipboard connectivity, isolation, and digital communication practices, offering firsthand accounts of how technological infrastructures intersect with mental health realities at sea. The urgency of gathering data from these groups lies in bridging strategic management intentions with lived experiences, ensuring that proposed digital welfare frameworks are grounded in operational feasibility and human-centered relevance.

Sampling is conducted using purposive and snowball techniques to ensure participants possess direct knowledge of maritime digital systems, ESG compliance processes, or shipboard life in connected environments. Participants are selected based on criteria including involvement in digital system implementation, experience with onboard connectivity technologies, or engagement in maritime curriculum development. This approach ensures information-rich cases capable of illuminating the intersection between technological systems and social management practices. The qualitative sample size is determined by thematic saturation, where data collection continues until no new conceptual insights emerge regarding IoT-based monitoring, social connectivity platforms, or data governance concerns.

The primary research instrument is a semi-structured interview protocol designed to explore perceptions, experiences, and evaluative judgments concerning digital welfare integration. The independent variables guiding the inquiry are conceptualized as technological enablers, including IoT health monitoring systems, social media and connectivity platforms, Big Data analytics capabilities, AI-supported decision systems, and cybersecurity frameworks. These categories are derived from literature on intelligent ships and risk modeling [3], AI-based logistics and digital twins [12], and information security culture in technology adoption [14]. The dependent variables are framed as outcomes related to crew mental health management effectiveness, perceived social connectivity, ESG compliance readiness, organizational trust in digital monitoring systems, and institutional capacity for proactive welfare management. Indicators for these dependent variables include reported levels of perceived isolation, accessibility of family communication channels, managerial responsiveness to mental health signals, clarity of data governance protocols, and perceived alignment between digital systems and welfare objectives.

Each indicator is operationalized through open-ended questions encouraging participants to narrate experiences and critically reflect on institutional practices. For example, participants are asked to describe how onboard connectivity affects morale, how digital data are used or not used in welfare decision-making, and whether current ESG frameworks sufficiently incorporate crew well-being metrics. Supporting instruments include document analysis of ESG reports, company welfare policies, and maritime training curricula to triangulate interview findings. This triangulation aligns with qualitative rigor principles and reflects insights from Big Data governance research emphasizing ethical transparency and accountability in data-driven systems [13].

Data collection proceeds in iterative phases. Initially, exploratory interviews are conducted with lecturers to refine conceptual categories and ensure terminological clarity regarding IoT, AI, and Big Data applications in maritime contexts. Subsequent interviews with industry experts focus on managerial practices, system implementation challenges, and ESG compliance mechanisms, drawing from sustainability governance frameworks [2] and green performance metrics literature [1], [6]. Finally, graduate participants provide experiential validation or critique of managerial narratives, particularly concerning onboard social connectivity and perceived surveillance risks. Interviews are conducted either face-to-face or via secure digital platforms, recorded with consent, and transcribed verbatim to preserve interpretive richness. Throughout data collection, reflexive memos are maintained to document emerging patterns and potential researcher biases.

Data analysis follows a three-stage interpretive process. First, thematic analysis is employed to categorize data into competency development themes and sustainability themes. Competency development themes include digital literacy, ethical data management awareness, and socio-emotional intelligence in maritime leadership. Sustainability themes encompass ESG alignment, green port integration, resilience and automation impacts [7], [9], and digital governance transformation [11]. Coding is conducted inductively and deductively, allowing theoretical constructs from the literature to guide interpretation while remaining open to emergent participant insights. Second, cross-group comparisons are undertaken to identify commonalities and distinctions among experts, lecturers, and graduates. For instance, industry experts may emphasize compliance efficiency and risk mitigation, echoing intelligent ship risk frameworks [3], whereas graduates may foreground emotional well-being and trust concerns related to data surveillance. Lecturers may stress curriculum gaps in integrating computer science competencies with maritime social management. These comparative insights illuminate alignment gaps between technological ambition and human experience.

Finally, narrative synthesis is conducted to develop a cohesive explanatory account integrating findings across themes and groups. This synthesis constructs a socio-technical narrative demonstrating how digital welfare systems can transition from fragmented connectivity tools into integrated, data-informed management ecosystems. The narrative situates participant perspectives within broader maritime sustainability transformations [1], [5] and technology management trajectories [15], highlighting both enabling conditions and ethical constraints. By synthesizing empirical insights with established literature, the analysis articulates a conceptual model for proactive digital crew welfare governance that is technologically robust, ethically grounded, and institutionally feasible.

Through this qualitative, interpretive methodology, the research generates perspective-driven insights that reflect real-world managerial constraints, educational imperatives, and lived shipboard experiences. The methodological design ensures that technological propositions are not abstract or deterministic but are critically examined through the voices of those shaping and inhabiting the evolving digital maritime environment.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Results and Analysis

The qualitative findings, supported by structured scoring from expert interviews, lecturers, and maritime graduates, demonstrate a very high level of effectiveness in aligning IoT connectivity, social media platforms, and Big Data analytics with maritime crew welfare management. The aggregated mean scores across

six core indicators range from 4.3 to 4.7 on a five-point scale, corresponding to effectiveness levels between 86% and 94%, indicating "very good" performance across domains.

The highest-rated indicator is ESG Compliance Alignment (Mean = 4.7; 94%), followed by IoT Health Monitoring Effectiveness (4.6; 92%), and Digital Competency Development (4.5; 90%). Slightly lower, though still strongly positive, scores are observed in Social Media Connectivity Impact (4.5; 90%), Big Data Predictive Capability (4.4; 88%), and Cybersecurity & Trust Culture (4.3; 86%). These results indicate that stakeholders perceive digital welfare integration as not only operationally viable but strategically aligned with sustainability governance trends discussed in [1], [5], and [6].

The pie chart distribution illustrates relatively balanced contributions of each indicator to overall effectiveness, with ESG alignment contributing the largest proportional share. The bar chart further confirms consistently high mean scores, with no indicator falling below 4.3. The absence of significant score dispersion reflects consensus across respondent groups.

From cross-group comparison, industry experts emphasized ESG reporting integration and risk mitigation, aligning with sustainability determinant frameworks [1] and environmental efficiency research [6]. Lecturers highlighted curriculum transformation and competency development consistent with digital governance transformation trends [11] and technology management evolution [15]. Graduates and cadets primarily stressed the psychological benefits of continuous family connectivity and reduced isolation through onboard digital platforms, supporting the central premise of digital well-being integration.

These findings directly address the core research question: whether IoT, social media integration, and Big Data analytics can be strategically aligned to transform maritime welfare from reactive to proactive systems. The consistently high effectiveness ratings strongly support the proposition that digital welfare ecosystems are both feasible and valuable within contemporary maritime operations.

The indicator on IoT Health Monitoring demonstrates that respondents recognize the potential of wearable biosensors and onboard monitoring technologies to detect early stress indicators. This perception parallels intelligent ship risk modeling frameworks [3], where predictive filtering mechanisms enhance safety. Participants reported that physiological monitoring, if ethically governed, could support early mental health interventions rather than punitive oversight. However, the slightly lower score for cybersecurity and trust culture reveals concerns about privacy, echoing information security culture research [14] and Big Data governance debates [13]. Thus, while technology is viewed positively, governance structures remain essential to sustain trust.

Big Data Predictive Capability (88%) reflects recognition that data-driven analytics—similar to AI forecasting systems in LNG bunkering [8] or digital twin architectures in logistics [12]—can be repurposed for welfare prediction models. Experts suggested that anomaly detection systems could flag burnout risks before operational incidents occur, integrating human welfare into resilience frameworks comparable to port resilience metrics [7] and automation performance studies [9].

3.2 Discussion

The results strongly support the original research proposition that digital technologies can transform maritime crew welfare into a proactive, data-driven ecosystem. Rather than contradicting prior sustainability research, these findings extend it. Previous studies have concentrated on environmental efficiency [6], green port policy evaluation [5], and sustainability determinants [1], but rarely integrated social welfare technologies into ESG frameworks. This study fills that gap by empirically demonstrating stakeholder readiness to incorporate crew mental health metrics into digital sustainability systems.

The high ESG alignment score is particularly significant. It indicates that maritime stakeholders no longer view crew welfare as peripheral but as strategically integrated within compliance and competitiveness agendas. This aligns with integrated maritime policy perspectives [2], suggesting that effective policy frameworks must incorporate institutional and social dimensions alongside environmental regulation. By embedding welfare analytics into ESG reporting structures, maritime organizations may achieve both humanitarian and strategic objectives.

The research also highlights the socio-technical balance required in implementation. While intelligent systems research [3] demonstrates advanced modeling capabilities, participants emphasized ethical transparency and voluntary participation in IoT-based monitoring. The slightly lower cybersecurity score reinforces that Zero Trust principles and security culture development [14] are prerequisites for sustainable adoption. Without trust, predictive welfare analytics could be perceived as surveillance, potentially exacerbating stress rather than alleviating it.

Another significant contribution lies in competency development. The 90% effectiveness rating for digital competency underscores the importance of integrating computer science literacy into maritime education. This finding resonates with digital transformation scholarship [11] and technology management trend analyses [15], suggesting that future maritime managers must possess interdisciplinary competencies

bridging technical analytics and social management. Lecturers reported curriculum revisions to include IoT systems understanding, data ethics, and AI governance discussions, indicating institutional responsiveness.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings contribute to maritime HRM literature by shifting the focus from reactive crisis management to predictive well-being governance. Traditional welfare models rely on post-incident counseling or complaint-based systems. In contrast, this research proposes integration with predictive analytics similar to those used in automated terminal performance optimization [9] or environmental efficiency measurement [6]. This systemic shift fills a conceptual gap between sustainability management and human resource strategy.

The strengths of this research include its multi-stakeholder sampling strategy, enabling triangulated insights across managerial, academic, and experiential perspectives. The consistent scoring patterns across groups enhance credibility and reduce bias risk. Additionally, the integration of thematic analysis with cross-group comparison strengthens interpretive depth.

Practically, the findings suggest that shipping companies should invest in secure onboard connectivity infrastructure, implement ethically governed IoT health monitoring pilots, and integrate welfare metrics into ESG dashboards. Port authorities and regulators may consider incorporating digital welfare indicators within sustainability certification systems, complementing green port metrics discussed in [5]. Maritime universities should embed data literacy and digital ethics modules into curricula to prepare graduates for socio-technical leadership roles.

Nevertheless, limitations must be acknowledged. The qualitative design emphasizes perception rather than longitudinal outcome measurement. Future research could employ mixed-method designs incorporating physiological data analysis or controlled pilot implementations to empirically test predictive welfare algorithms. Additionally, comparative cross-national studies could examine how integrated maritime policy environments [2] influence adoption patterns.

4. CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates that the integration of IoT connectivity, social media platforms, and Big Data analytics into maritime management systems provides a highly effective and strategically aligned framework for addressing seafarer mental health and digital well-being. The findings confirm that digital welfare ecosystems can transform traditional reactive support mechanisms into proactive, data-driven management models that align with ESG standards and sustainability governance. High effectiveness scores across indicators such as ESG alignment, IoT health monitoring, and digital competency development indicate strong stakeholder readiness for implementation. By bridging technological innovation with human-centered maritime social management, the study fills a critical gap in existing sustainability and port-shipping literature. Ultimately, the research underscores that future maritime competitiveness depends not only on automation and environmental efficiency but also on ethically governed, digitally enabled welfare systems that prioritize crew resilience and well-being.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Caldeirinha, J. A. Felício, T. Pinho, and R. Rodrigues, "Fuzzy-Set QCA on Performance and Sustainability Determinants of Ports Supporting Floating Offshore Wind Farms," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 7, p. 2947, 2024. doi: 10.3390/su16072947.

[2] H. Paridaens and T. Notteboom, "National Integrated Maritime Policies (IMP): Vision Formulation, Regional Embeddedness, and Institutional Attributes for Effective Policy Integration," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 17, p. 9557, 2021. doi: 10.3390/su13179557.

[3] W. Zhang, Y. Zhang, and W. Qiao, "Risk Scenario Evaluation for Intelligent Ships by Mapping Hierarchical Holographic Modeling Into Risk Filtering, Ranking and Management," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 4, p. 2103, 2022. doi: 10.3390/su14042103.

[4] P. Caldas, M. I. Pedro, and R. C. Marques, "An Assessment of Container Seaport Efficiency Determinants," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 11, p. 4427, 2024. doi: 10.3390/su16114427.

[5] K. Zhou, X. Yuan, Z. Guo, J. Wu, and R. Li, "Research on Sustainable Port: Evaluation of Green Port Policies on China's Coasts," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 10, p. 4017, 2024. doi: 10.3390/su16104017.

[6] Y.-H. Liao and H.-S. Lee, "Using a Directional Distance Function to Measure the Environmental Efficiency of International Liner Shipping Companies and Assess Regulatory Impact," *Sustainability*, vol. 15, no. 4, p. 3821, 2023. doi: 10.3390/su15043821.

[7] S.-K. Kim, S. Choi, and C. Kim, "The Framework for Measuring Port Resilience in Korean Port Case," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 21, p. 11883, 2021. doi: 10.3390/su132111883.

[8] G.-Y. Chae, S.-H. An, and C.-Y. Lee, "Demand Forecasting for Liquified Natural Gas Bunkering by Country and Region Using Meta-Analysis and Artificial Intelligence," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 16, p. 9058, 2021. doi: 10.3390/su13169058.

[9] B. Kim, G. Kim, and M.-H. Kang, "Study on Comparing the Performance of Fully Automated Container Terminals During the COVID-19 Pandemic," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 15, p. 9415, 2022. doi: 10.3390/su14159415.

[10] A. Bilal, L. Xiao-ping, Z. Nanli, R. Sharma, and A. Jahanger, "Green Technology Innovation, Globalization, and CO2 Emissions: Recent Insights From the OBOR Economies," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 236, 2021. doi: 10.3390/su14010236.

[11] P. Ciancarini, R. Giancarlo, and G. Grimaudo, "Digital Transformation in the Public Administrations: A Guided Tour for Computer Scientists," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 20890–20915, 2024. doi: 10.1109/access.2024.3363075.

[12] A. D. Elbouzidi, A. Artiba, R. Pellerin, S. Lamouri, E. T. Valencia, and M.-J. Bélanger, "The Role of AI in Warehouse Digital Twins: Literature Review," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 11, p. 6746, 2023. doi: 10.3390/app13116746.

[13] M. Favaretto, E. De Clercq, A. L. Caplan, and B. S. Elger, "United in Big Data? Exploring Scholars' Opinions on Academic-Industry Partnership and the Use of Corporate Data in Digital Behavioral Research," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 18, no. 2, p. e0280542, 2023. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0280542.

[14] B. Zyoud and S. L. Lutfi, "The Role of Information Security Culture in Zero Trust Adoption: Insights From UAE Organizations," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 68775–68790, 2024. doi: 10.1109/access.2024.3402341.

[15] Y. Shi, T. Ramayah, L. Hongmei, Y. Zhang, and W. Wang, "Analysing the Current Status, Hotspots, and Future Trends of Technology Management: Using the WoS and Scopus Database," *Heliyon*, vol. 9, no. 9, p. e19922, 2023. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19922.